## Assessing the Readiness of Cardiac Rehabilitation Programs for Quality Improvement Interventions in NSW and VIC

Sanuri Wijesekera Kankanamge<sup>1</sup>, Dion Candelaria<sup>1</sup>, Susie Cartledge<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Hollings<sup>3</sup>, Ling Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Gauci<sup>4</sup>, Nicole Gordon<sup>5</sup>, Robert Zecchin<sup>6</sup>, Adrienne O'Neil<sup>4</sup>, Tom Briffa<sup>5</sup>, Karice Hyun<sup>3</sup>, Georgia Chaseling<sup>1</sup>, Julie Redfern<sup>7,1</sup>, Robyn Gallagher<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The University of Sydney, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Susan Wakil School of Nursing and Midwifery, Sydney, Australia. <sup>2</sup>Monash University, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Melbourne, Australia. <sup>3</sup>The University of Sydney, Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Health Sciences, Sydney, Australia. <sup>4</sup>Deakin University, School of Medicine, Institute for Mental and Physical Health and Clinical Translation, Food & Mood Centre, Geelong, Australia. <sup>5</sup>The University of Western Australia, School of Population and Global Health, Population and Public Health, Cardiovascular Epidemiology Research Centre (CERC), Perth, Australia. <sup>6</sup>Western Sydney Local Health District, Sydney, Australia. <sup>7</sup>Bond University, Faculty of Health Sciences & Medicine, Institute for Evidence-Based Healthcare, Gold Coast, Australia

## Abstract

Introduction: Integrating quality improvement (QI) into routine cardiac rehabilitation (CR) practice requires robust data infrastructure and clinicians' experience with data-driven approaches. However, the availability of such infrastructure and experience remains unclear. This study examines the availability of data infrastructure and CR clinicians' use of data for QI in NSW and VIC.

**Methods:** CR programs were identified via the National Heart Foundation directory, networks, and direct outreach. We distributed a 30-item online survey to capture the availability and use of data infrastructure, and QI practices of CR clinicians. Descriptive statistics informed program characteristics, including state (NSW, VIC), location (metropolitan, regional/rural), sector (public, private), and annual patient enrolments (0-100, >100). Chi-squared tests and logistic regression identified independent predictors of (1) availability of database, (2) use of data for QI, and (3) programs with database that use collected data for QI.

**Results:** Among 176 CR programs in NSW and VIC, only 27 programs (15.3%) had a CR database, and, of this, 23 (85.2%) used their data for QI. In addition, 92 (52.3%) reported using data for QI regardless of having a database. Programs with >100 annual patient enrolments were more likely to both have a CR database (OR 4.12; 95% CI 1.37–12.44) and use collected data from database for QI (OR 4.65; 95% CI 1.46–14.83).

**Conclusion:** Few CR programs had both the data infrastructure and experience needed for QI, with larger programs better equipped. More inclusive data infrastructure is needed to support all CR programs, regardless of size or location.